

TWO DIMENSIONAL TRANSLATION-INVARIANT PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTIONS: APPROXIMATIONS, CHARACTERIZATIONS AND NO-GO THEOREMS

BY ZIZHU WANG* AND MIGUEL NAVASCUÉS*

*Institute for Quantum Optics and Quantum Information (IQOQI) Vienna,
Austrian Academy of Sciences**

We study the properties of the set of marginal distributions of infinite translation-invariant systems in the 2D square lattice. In cases where the local variables can only take a small number d of possible values, we completely solve the marginal or membership problem for nearest-neighbors distributions ($d = 2, 3$) and nearest and next-to-nearest neighbors distributions ($d = 2$). Remarkably, all these sets form convex polytopes in probability space. This allows us to devise an algorithm to compute the minimum energy per site of any TI Hamiltonian in these scenarios exactly. We also devise a simple algorithm to approximate the minimum energy per site up to arbitrary accuracy for the cases not covered above. For variables of a higher (but finite) dimensionality, we prove two no-go results. To begin, the exact computation of the energy per site of arbitrary TI Hamiltonians with only nearest-neighbor interactions is an undecidable problem. In addition, in scenarios with $d \geq 2947$, the boundary of the set of nearest-neighbor marginal distributions contains both flat and smoothly curved surfaces and the set itself is not semi-algebraic. This implies, in particular, that it cannot be characterized via semidefinite programming, even if we allow the input of the program to include polynomials of nearest-neighbor probabilities.

1. Introduction. The distribution of stars at large scales, the vacuum state of a quantum field theory, the thermal state of any solvable spin model: these are examples of systems with infinitely many sites where the description of a bounded environment does not depend on its location within the lattice. We call this property translation invariance (TI).

Picture a scenario where an agent inhabiting an infinite translation-invariant world explores the environment around him. Despite a long history of research on TI systems, mainly driven by the mathematical challenges encountered in statistical physics (see, e.g. [Bax07]), so far we cannot tell what this agent would see. That is, we ignore how the statistics of the random local variables which the agent has access to are constrained by the requirement of infinite TI.

This *gedankenexperiment* motivates the formulation of the *TI marginal problem*, where a number of probability distributions of finitely-many variables are provided and the task is to certify if they correspond to the marginals of a TI sys-

tem. That the TI problem is trivial in 1D is known [Sch85, Piv01, GKLS17] and part of the mathematical folklore on TI systems [WSN16]. However, not much is known about the analog problem in higher spatial dimensions. Does the set of TI marginals admit a simple description? Does it have a simple shape? Can it be fully characterized? These are the questions which we seek to answer.

Besides these foundational considerations, **ENERGY**, the dual of the TI marginal problem, is of special concern for the mathematical physics community. Consider an infinite square lattice where each site can take a value from within a finite alphabet. Given a functional or *Hamiltonian* that assigns numerical scores to each possible configuration of values for neighboring sites, we want to identify a configuration for the whole infinite lattice that achieves the minimum score per lattice site. This quantity corresponds to the average free energy of a macroscopic two-dimensional system in the limit of zero temperature, a central problem in statistical physics [Hua09]. For arbitrary temperatures and Hamiltonians assigning finite scores to the possible different configurations, this problem has only been solved exactly in scenarios where each site can just take one of two possible values [MW14]. It is not clear whether this state of affairs reflects a limitation on our current mathematical techniques or, on the contrary, there exists a deeper reason that prevents us from solving these problems in general.

In this paper we study the set of local marginals of TI systems in the infinite square lattice. We show that, in scenarios where the random variables take a small number d of possible values, the problem of characterizing nearest-neighbor (for $d = 2, 3$) and next-to-nearest neighbor distributions (for $d = 2$) is exactly solvable. We also prove solvable a natural variant of the marginal problem where the TI extension is also required to satisfy invariance under reflection of the horizontal and vertical axes. An immediate consequence of these results is that, in any of the above scenarios, for any TI Hamiltonian, it is possible to solve **ENERGY** exactly. For the cases not covered by the above results, we provide an algorithm to approximate the set of TI marginals or solve the **ENERGY** problem up to arbitrary precision.

On the other hand, we show that for arbitrary TI Hamiltonians, the **ENERGY** problem is undecidable. We also prove that, contrary to the solvable cases, for local random variables of high cardinality, the set of nearest-neighbor distributions is no longer a convex polytope in the space of probabilities¹ or even a semi-algebraic set². This implies that standard tools from convex optimization,

¹A convex polytope is a convex set defined by a finite number of linear inequalities [Grü03, Zie95].

²A semi-algebraic set is the union of finitely many regions of $\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by a finite number of polynomial inequalities [BCR98].

such as linear programming [NT93] or semidefinite programming [VB96] are not rich enough to describe the TI realm.

Put together, our results shed some light on the fact that so far all known exactly solvable models in two-dimensions, with the exception of vertex models [Bax07], are binary [MW14]. Namely: on one hand, those correspond to scenarios where the set of TI marginals admits a simple characterization. On the other, for arbitrary d , the problem of computing the free energy at zero temperature is undecidable (and thus there is no hope to obtain general solutions for all temperatures).

The structure of this paper is as follows: in Section 2 we will define and list known properties of the set of marginal 2D TI distributions. We will introduce the **MARGINAL** and the **ENERGY** problems and show how to solve their 1D version. We will also provide a useful procedure that, given any distribution Q of random variables in the $n \times n$ square, generates a TI distribution with $P_{s \times t}$ very close to a spatial average of Q for $s, t \ll n$. The remaining sections present our original contributions. In Section 3 we will prove the closure of the set of TI distributions and devise a practical algorithm that approximately characterizes the set of 2D marginals up to arbitrary accuracy. Later, in Section 4, we will list a few instances of the marginal problem which are exactly solvable. In Section 5 we will prove two no-go theorems about the set of 2D TI marginals, namely: (a) the problem of computing **ENERGY** exactly is undecidable; (b) for random variables with support d greater than or equal to 2947, the set of nearest-neighbor distributions is not a semi-algebraic set.

After the completion of this work, it was pointed to us that several other authors had approached these problem in the past [Sch88, Sch85, Piv01, KLS11, GKLS17], reaching, in some cases, very similar results. In particular, the closure of the set of TI marginals is observed in [GKLS17], that also gives explicit examples of distributions satisfying *local translation invariance* (here dubbed *mock-TI*), but nonetheless do not admit a TI extension. Similarly, the relationship between the extendibility of TI marginals and the tiling problem, which we invoke to prove that **ENERGY** is not a computable function, was also used by Schlijper in [Sch88] to prove that the problem of finding a TI marginal with a given support is undecidable.

2. The set of marginal 2D TI distributions: definition and known properties. Consider an infinite two-dimensional square lattice, and suppose that each site $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ has access to a local random variable $a_{x,y}$ that can take $d < \infty$ possible values. We will call d the *local dimension* of the lattice. For any finite subset K of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, we will assume that the variables $a_K \equiv \{a_z : z \in K\}$ follow a probability distribution $P_K(a_K)$. We say that the system is translation-invariant




FIG 1. *An agent exploring his surroundings in an infinite TI lattice. In the picture, the agent only has access to the random variables corresponding to four nearby sites. How are the statistics of the corresponding four random variables restricted by the TI condition?*

(TI) if, for any finite set K and any vector $c \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$(1) \quad P_K = P_{K+c},$$

where $K + c = \{z : z - c \in K\}$.

TI is a very common property in nature. It is satisfied approximately in large crystal structures, and exactly by any stationary state in quantum field theory. In addition, most exactly solvable models in statistical physics comply with this symmetry in the thermodynamic limit of infinitely many sites.

In this paper we will consider a scenario where an agent conducts local observations within an infinite 2D TI system. Intuitively, the condition of TI means that the statistics of the variables within the immediate vicinity of the agent do not give any clue about his position in the lattice. Our goal is to determine how the statistics of such a sample are constrained by the requirement that these variables arise from a 2D TI system.

With a slight abuse of notation, any function P assigning probability distributions $P_K(a_K)$ to any finite set $K \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ satisfying eq. (1) will be called a *2D TI probability distribution*. Each of the distributions $P_K(a_K)$ will be denoted the *marginal* of a 2D TI distribution, or simply a TI marginal. A few special finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ will appear frequently throughout this article, so we




FIG 2. **The 2D TI marginal problem.** In the example, there is only one region, $K = 3 \times 3$. A probability distribution $Q_{3 \times 3}$ for the 9 variables $\{a_{i,j} : i, j = 0, 1, 2\}$ is given (the green square), and the question is whether there exists a 2D TI system with a marginal probability distribution $P_{3 \times 3}$ (the yellow squares) equal to $Q_{3 \times 3}$.

will need special names for them. $v, h, +, -$, will denote, respectively, the sets $\{(0, 0), (0, -1)\}, \{(0, 0), (1, 0)\}, \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}, \{(0, 0), (1, -1)\}$. The bi-variate distributions $P_h(a, b), P_v(a, b) (P_+(a, b), P_-(a, b))$ hence describe the statistics between nearest neighbors (next-to-nearest neighbors). We will also use $m \times n$ to describe the rectangle $\{(x, y) : 0 \leq x < m, 0 \leq y < n\}$; and $|m \times n|$, for $\{(x, y) : |x| < m, |y| < n\}$. Finally, $1, \dots, n$ will denote the set $\cup_{k=1}^n \{(k, 0)\}$.

We will now introduce the two problems which will concern us through the rest of the article.

DEFINITION 1 (The TI MARGINAL problem). Given a finite number of finite subsets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$, i.e., $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$, $|\cup_i K_i| < \infty$, and the probability distributions $\{Q_i(a_{K_i})\}_i$, determine if there exists a 2D TI distribution P such that $P_{K_i}(a_{K_i}) = Q(a_{K_i})$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In the affirmative case, the distribution P will be called a TI extension of $\{Q_i(a_{K_i})\}_i$.

Sometimes, e.g., in statistical physics, we are not interested in solving the marginal problem as much as in optimizing linear functionals over the set of marginals of 2D TI distributions. This motivates the following problem:

DEFINITION 2 (The ENERGY problem). Given $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$, with $K_i \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$, $|\cup_i K_i| < \infty$, and functions $F_i : \{0, d-1\}^{|K_i|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, solve the optimization problem

$$(2) \quad \min_P \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a_{K_i}} F_i(a_{K_i}) P_{K_i}(a_{K_i}),$$

where the minimization is carried out over all TI distributions P .

The **ENERGY** problem is the dual of the marginal problem: given an oracle to solve one of them approximately, one can devise an algorithm that invokes the oracle a polynomial number of times in order to solve the other with a similar accuracy [GLS88]. **ENERGY** takes its name from the observation that, given a (classical) 2D TI Hamiltonian of the form

$$(3) \quad H(a_{\mathbb{Z}^2}) = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{b=1}^{d^{|K_i|}} F_i(b) \delta(a_{K_i+z}, b),$$

the minimum energy per site of the system is given by $\text{ENERGY}(\{F_i\})$. The reader can find a proof at the end of this section.

As we will see, the TI marginal problem is very hard. However, there exist an interesting variant whose solution turns out to be trivial.

DEFINITION 3 (The **MARGINAL** problem 1n 1D). *Let $Q_{1,\dots,s}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ be a probability distribution. Determine if there exists a TI distribution P such that $P_{1,\dots,s}(a_1, \dots, a_s) = Q_{1,\dots,s}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$.*

The solution of this problem is folklore among the community of condensed matter physicists: a distribution $Q_{1,\dots,s}(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ admits a TI extension iff $Q_{1,\dots,s-1} = Q_{2,\dots,s}$ [WSN16].

PROOF. Consider the conditional distribution $Q(a_s | a_1, \dots, a_{s-1})$, and define $P_{1,\dots,n}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ inductively as

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{1,\dots,s}(a_1, \dots, a_s) &= Q_{1,\dots,s}(a_1, \dots, a_s), \\ P_{1,\dots,n+1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}) &= P_{1,\dots,n}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cdot \\ &\quad Q(a_{n+1} | a_{n-s+2}, \dots, a_n). \end{aligned}$$

It can be verified that $P_{k,\dots,n+k}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = P_{1,\dots,n}(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$. In order to define P over the whole line $(\mathbb{Z}, 0)$ (and not just the ray $(\mathbb{Z}^+, 0)$), define $P_{-k,\dots,k} = P_{1,\dots,2k+1}$. Extending the distribution to the whole plane is trivial. $\square$

Given an arbitrary distribution Q_K (not necessarily a TI marginal) of local variables over a large rectangle $K = m \times n$, one can derive a 2D TI distribution P such that $P_{s \times t}$ equals a spatial average of all the $s \times t$ possible rectangles inside K with a correction of the order $O\left(\frac{\max(s,t)}{\min(m,n)}\right)$.

DEFINITION 4 (The symmetrization procedure). *Given Q_K , define a distribution $\hat{P}$ over the whole plane by tiling it with copies of the distribution Q_K . Then, the TI distribution P given by*

$$(5) \quad P_{K'} \equiv \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{x=1}^n \sum_{y=1}^m \hat{P}_{K'+(x,y)}$$

will be called the symmetrization of Q .

That P is TI invariant can be seen by noting that $\hat{P}$ satisfies $\hat{P}_{K+(c_1 m, c_2 n)} = \hat{P}_K$, for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. It follows that average translations of $\{1, \dots, m\}$ and $\{1, \dots, n\}$ sites in the $\hat{x}$ and $\hat{y}$ axes will turn $\hat{P}$ into a 2D TI distribution.

It can be easily checked that

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} P_h &= \frac{1}{(m-1)n} \sum_{x=0}^{m-2} \sum_{y=0}^{n-1} Q_{h+(x,y)} + O\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), \\ P_v &= \frac{1}{m(n-1)} \sum_{x=0}^{m-1} \sum_{y=0}^{n-2} Q_{v+(x,y)} + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In general,

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{s \times t} &= \frac{1}{(m-s)(n-t)} \sum_{x=0}^{m-s-1} \sum_{y=0}^{n-t-1} Q_{s \times t+(x,y)} + \\ &+ O\left(\frac{s}{m}\right) + O\left(\frac{t}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Now we are ready to establish the equivalence between **ENERGY** and the computation of the minimum energy per site of a local Hamiltonian. Suppose that there exists a configuration of the square lattice that achieves an energy E . Applying symmetrization over an $n \times n$ square of it, one derives a 2D TI distribution with $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a_{K_i}} F_i(a_{K_i}) P_{K_i}(a_{K_i}) = E + O(1/n)$. Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that the energy value of any configuration can be matched by a TI

marginal. Conversely, given any 2D TI distribution P with $\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{a_{K_i}} F_i(a_{K_i}) P_{K_i}(a_{K_i}) = E$, for any n there exists, by convexity, an $n \times n$ square configuration $a_{n \times n}$ with $P_{n \times n}(a_{n \times n}) \neq 0$ and average energy $E' \leq E$. It follows that the solutions of both problems are equal.

3. Approximations of the set of TI marginals. In this section we will state three relevant results regarding the set of TI marginals. Namely, that it is convex, closed and it admits an approximate characterization up to arbitrary accuracy.

The set of TI distributions is obviously convex, and thus, for any finite collection $\{K_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of finite sets of $\mathbb{Z}^2$ the set of all TI marginals $\{P_{K_i}(a_{K_i})\}$ is also convex. We will next prove that it is, in addition, closed.

PROOF. It clearly suffices to show that, for any n , the set of TI marginals $P_{|n \times n|}(a_{|n \times n|})$ is closed. Consider then any sequence $(P_{|n \times n|}^{(i)})_i$ of TI marginals, with $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} P_{|n \times n|}^{(i)} = P_{|n \times n|}$.

Since each $P_{|n \times n|}^{(i)}$ is a TI marginal, there exists a distribution $P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}^{(i)}$ defined on $|(n+1) \times (n+1)|$ of local variables that reduces to $P_{|n \times n|}^{(i)}$ when we consider the marginal distribution of any of the inscribed sets $|n \times n| + (x, y)$, with $x, y = -1, 0, 1$. Since $\{P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}^{(i)}\}$ are finite-dimensional bounded vectors, there exists a subsequence of indices $(i_k)_k$ such that the limit $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}^{(i_k)} = P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}$ exists. Also, it is obvious that the $|n \times n|$ marginal of $P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}$ equals $P_{|n \times n|}$. Iterating the same reasoning over the subsequence $(i_k)_k$, we find that there exists a sequence of distributions $P_{|n \times n|}, P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}, P_{|(n+2) \times (n+2)|}, \dots$ with the property that any distribution is a marginal of the next. This consistency condition allows us to define a function P to assign probability distributions over the whole $\mathbb{Z}^2$ plane. Moreover, since all the distributions in the series are TI marginals, condition (1) is satisfied. $\square$

Now that the most elementary geometric properties of the set of TI marginals are established, let us discuss methods to approximately characterize this set.

The symmetrization protocol suggests a simple (but expensive) converging sequence of relaxations. Given $Q_K(a_K)$, with $K = s \times t$, a necessary condition for $Q_K(a_K)$ to be a TI marginal is that $Q_K(a_K)$ is the marginal of a distribution $P^{(n)}$ over the square $n \times n$, subject to the rules:

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{(n-1) \times n + (x, 0)}^{(n)} &= P_{(n-1) \times n}^{(n)}, \text{ for } x = 0, 1, \\ P_{n \times (n-1) + (0, y)}^{(n)} &= P_{n \times (n-1)}^{(n)}, \text{ for } y = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

Intuitively, $P^{(n)}$ is modeling the marginal for the region $n \times n$ of an overall 2D TI distribution containing Q_K .

The verification can be carried out via linear programming [NT93]. Linear programming is a branch of convex optimization concerned with the resolution of problems of the form

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \max \bar{c} \cdot \bar{x}, \\ & \text{s.t. } A\bar{x} \geq \bar{b}, \bar{x} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{R}^p$, the $q \times p$ matrix A and $\bar{b} \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are the inputs of the problem; $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are the problem variables; and $\bar{s} \geq 0$ is used to denote that all the components of the vector $\bar{s}$ are non-negative.

For each (primal) linear program there exists a dual problem

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \min \bar{b} \cdot \bar{y}, \\ & \text{s.t. } A^T \bar{y} \geq \bar{c}, \bar{y} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Remarkably, the solutions of both primal and dual problems coincide. Numerical algorithms aimed at solving one problem hence run optimizations over the primal and dual problems. This allows the solver to give rigorous upper and lower bounds on the optimal solution. At present, there exist numerous free software implementations of interior-point methods for linear programs [NT93, MG07]. In addition, all linear programs can be solved exactly via the costly Fourier-Motzkin elimination method [Wil86].

In our case, we need the solver to verify that there exists a probability distribution $P_{n \times n}^{(n)}$ for n^2 variables satisfying the linear conditions (8), together with $P_K^{(n)} = Q_K$. This can be formulated as a linear program by regarding each probability $P_{n \times n}^{(n)}(a_K)$ as a free variable, and choosing A, b so that $P_{n \times n}^{(n)}(a_K)$ satisfies the corresponding linear constraints. As for the objective function to optimize, we can take $\bar{c} = 0$, i.e., the solution of the primal linear program will be zero provided that there exists a feasible point. If there exists a distribution $P_{n \times n}^{(n)}(a_K)$ compatible with Q_K , the solver will find it. Conversely, if there is no such distribution, then the solver will return a solution for the dual problem with an objective value smaller than 0. Such a solution is, in effect, a *certificate of infeasibility*, that is, a computer-generated proof that Q_K is not a TI marginal.

The method described above is a relaxation of the property of being a TI marginal: if Q_K does not pass the n^{th} test, then it clearly is not a TI marginal. If, on the other hand, Q_K passes the n^{th} test, then we can apply the symmetrization

protocol over the distribution $P_{n \times n}$ and obtain a 2D TI distribution $\hat{P}$ that, due to eq. (7) and the condition $P_K = Q_K$, satisfies $\hat{P}_K = Q_K + O\left(\frac{\max(s,t)}{n}\right)$.

This algorithm is highly inefficient, though, since the time and space complexity of the computations scales as $O(e^{an^2})$. Actually, there is a much more practical relaxation of the set of TI marginals achieving the same accuracy that just involves $O(e^{\beta n})$ operations.

DEFINITION 5 (Approximate solution of the marginal problem). *Given Q_K , with $K = s \times t$, $t \leq s$, verify that Q_K is the marginal of $P_{n \times t}^{(n)}$, with*

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{(n-1) \times t + (x,0)}^{(n)} &= P_{(n-1) \times t}^{(n)}, \text{ for } x = 0, 1, \\ P_{n \times (t-1) + (0,y)}^{(n)} &= P_{n \times (t-1)}^{(n)}, \text{ for } y = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

This can again be formulated as a linear program, and is obviously a relaxation of the property of being a TI marginal. The condition (11) will appear often in the rest of the article, so we will give it a name. Any distribution $P_{n \times t}$ in the rectangle $n \times t$ satisfying the above conditions will be called *mock-translation-invariant* (mock-TI).

To see that the relaxation above is $O(s/n)$ -close to the actual set of TI marginals, suppose that Q_K is the marginal of $P_{n \times t}^{(n)}$. We will next extend $P_{n \times t}^{(n)}$ to a distribution $P'_{n \times n}$ with the property that the marginal of any $s \times t$ rectangle equals Q_K .

In order to derive $P'_{n \times n}$, we regard $P_{n \times t}^{(n)}$ as the t -site marginal of a TI 1D system with local variables of dimension d^n . We can do so because $P_{n \times t}^{(n)}$ satisfies the second line of (11). From the triviality of the marginal problem for TI 1D systems, we know that there must exist a distribution $P'_{n \times n}$ satisfying the afore-mentioned properties. Finally, it is easy to see, from eq. (6), that the symmetrization of $P'_{n \times n}$ will be a 2D TI distribution $\hat{P}$ with marginal $\hat{P}_K = Q_K + O(s/n)$.

To conclude, we would like to remark that the above sequence of relaxations of the set of marginals also allows the user to approximately solve **ENERGY**. Indeed, given $\{(F_i, K_i)\}_i$, one can carry out, via linear programming, the optimization:

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} E^n &\equiv \min \sum_i \sum_{a_{K_i}} F_i(a_{K_i}) P_{K_i}^{(n)}(a_{K_i}), \\ \text{s.t. } P_{(n-1) \times s + (x,0)}^{(n)} &= P_{(n-1) \times s}^{(n)}, \text{ for } x = 0, 1, \\ P_{n \times (s-1) + (0,y)}^{(n)} &= P_{n \times (s-1)}^{(n)}, \text{ for } y = 0, 1. \end{aligned}$$

From what we reasoned above, it follows that $E^n \leq \text{ENERGY}(\{(F_i, K_i)\}_i) \leq E^n + O(1/n)$.

4. The exact marginal problem in 2D: characterizations. In this section we will identify certain variants or scenarios where the TI marginal problem is solvable. That is, there exists an algorithm that will determine with certainty if the given distributions are TI marginals or not.

4.1. The exact marginal problem with reflection symmetry. Let us start with a relevant variant of the marginal problem: the 2D TI marginal problem with reflection symmetry. This is the case where, in addition to demanding the existence of a TI extension, we require this to be invariant under reflections on both axes. Since Nature is approximately invariant under parity reflection, this condition describes many physical scenarios, such as the isotropic Ising model and the Potts model [MW14, Wu82].

DEFINITION 6 (The TI marginal problem with reflection symmetry). *Let $K = s \times 2$. Given $Q_K(a_K)$, determine if there exists a 2D TI distribution P such that $P_K(a_{K_i}) = Q(a_K)$ and $P_{n \times n}(a_{n \times n}) = P_{n \times n}(a_{n \times n}^H) = P_{n \times n}(a_{n \times n}^V)$, for all n . Here, $a_{n \times n}^H, a_{n \times n}^V$ denote, respectively, the permutation of the variables $(a_{\{(x,y)\}})_{0 \leq x \leq s-1, 0 \leq y \leq 1}$ associated to a reflection over the horizontal and vertical axis, respectively.*

The existence of a 2D TI distribution with reflection symmetry can be seen equivalent to the conditions

$$(13) \quad \begin{aligned} Q_K(a_K) &= Q_K(a_K^H) = Q_K(a_K^V), \\ Q_{(s-1) \times 2} &= Q_{(s-1) \times 2 + (1,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

To see why, note that the second condition implies that, viewed as a 1D system of s sites with local variables of dimension d^2 , $Q_{s \times 2}$ is the marginal of a TI system. In particular, for any n one can find $P_{n \times 2}^1$ with the property that $P_{K+(x,0)}^1 = Q_K$ for $0 \leq x \leq n-s$. This property is kept if we make the distribution invariant under reflection on both axes.

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{2 \times n}^2(a_{2 \times n}) &= \frac{1}{4}(\hat{P}_{n \times 2}(a_{n \times 2}) + \hat{P}_{n \times 2}(a_{n \times 2}^H) + \\ &\quad \hat{P}_v(a_{n \times 2}^V) + \hat{P}_{n \times 2}(a_{n \times 2}^{HV})). \end{aligned}$$

Reflection under the horizontal axis implies, in particular, that $P_{n \times 1}^2 = P_{n \times 1 + (0,1)}^2$. Therefore, we can view P^2 as the 2-site marginal of a 1D TI system with variables of local dimension d^n and extend it to an $n \times n$ square. From that point

on, we can consider the symmetrization of this distribution $P_{n \times n}^3$, which will return a 2D TI reflection-symmetric distribution with $Q_K + O(1/n)$ as a marginal. Invoking the closure of the set of marginals of 2D TI distributions (see the next section), we conclude that Q_K admits a 2D TI extension P . Finally, since Q_K satisfies reflection symmetry, one can choose P to be symmetric as well.

Note that the resolution of the 2D TI marginal problem under reflection symmetry implies, in particular, that, for F_K satisfying $F_K(a_K) = F_K(a_K^H) = F_K(a_K^V)$, **ENERGY**(F_K) is exactly solvable. Indeed, let $\hat{P}_K$ be any TI marginal and call E the value of the functional in eq. (2) evaluated in $\hat{P}_K$. Then it is easy to see that $P_{s \times 2}^2$, as defined by eq. (14) (replacing n by s), admits a TI, reflection-symmetric extension. Moreover, the value of the functional is also E . It follows that, for $F_K(a_K) = F_K(a_K^H) = F_K(a_K^V)$, one can assume that the minimizer of **ENERGY**(F_K) is a TI, reflection-symmetric marginal. Hence one can use linear programming to solve the problem.

4.2. Two-valued local variables. Take the local dimension of the system to be $d = 2$ (bits), and suppose that we just want to characterize the distributions $(P_h(a, c), P_v(a, b))$ between horizontal and vertical nearest-neighbors. As it turns out, for $d = 2$, mock-invariance on either P_h or P_v (i.e., $\sum_a P_h(a, x) = \sum_a P_h(x, a)$, $\sum_a P_v(a, x) = \sum_a P_v(x, a)$) implies that both distributions P_h and P_v are symmetric. Now, suppose that the pair (P_h, P_v) admits a 2D TI extension. If we make this extension reflection-invariant -via eq. (14)-, the distributions P_h, P_v will not change. In other words, (P_h, P_v) is a TI marginal iff it is a TI reflection-symmetric marginal. Now, from the previous section, we know that the marginals of 2D TI reflection-symmetric distributions correspond to the marginals of symmetric, mock-TI squares. This allows us to completely characterize the set of TI marginals (P_h, P_v) via linear programming.

Note that this argument holds not only in 2D, but in any spatial dimension. It follows that the set of nearest-neighbor marginals in any dimension is described by a convex polytope, i.e., a set defined by a finite number of linear inequalities or *facets* $\{F_h^i(P_h) + F_v^i(P_v) + \dots \leq 0\}_{i=1}^n$, where $F_h^i, F_v^i, \dots$, are linear functionals on the probabilities $P_h(a, b), P_v(a, b)$, etc.

Using standard combinatorial software [LR15], we managed to derive the facets $\{F_h^i(P_h) + F_v^i(P_v) \leq 0\}_{i=1}^n$ which define the 2D set. We verified, using linear programming, that the set all distributions (P_h, P_v) with

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{a=0,1} P_h(a, x) &= \sum_{a=0,1} P_h(x, a) = \\ \sum_{a=0,1} P_v(a, x) &= \sum_{a=0,1} P_v(x, a) \end{aligned}$$

cannot violate any of them. It follows that the above conditions characterize completely the set of nearest-neighbor TI marginals. In other words, for $d = 2$, the nearest-neighbor marginal problem is trivial. This perhaps explains why the only known solvable classical models in 2D are bit models. We verified that the analog 3D problem, where the input are the distributions P_h, P_v, P_d , is also trivial.

We now move to the problem of characterizing the distributions (P_h, P_v, P_+, P_-) corresponding to vertical and horizontal nearest-neighbors and north-east (+) and south-east (−) next-to-nearest neighbor distributions. This problem is not trivial, since the distribution $P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = P_+(a, b) = P_-(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{a \oplus b, 1}$ satisfies $\sum_a P_s(a, x) = \sum_a P_t(x, a)$ for $s, t = h, v, +, -$ and yet it does not admit a TI extension³.

In order to solve it, we relaxed the condition of being a TI marginal to the condition of admitting a 2×2 mock-TI extension, i.e., the first level of the hierarchy of relaxations described in Definition 5. We find that the corresponding polytope has 13 extreme points, each of which belongs to 6 classes modulo rotations, reflections and relabelings of the variables:

- (C1) The two points in this class are deterministic: $P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = P_+(a, b) = P_-(a, b) = \delta_{a,0}\delta_{b,0}$ and $P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = P_+(a, b) = P_-(a, b) = \delta_{a,1}\delta_{b,1}$. They obviously admit a deterministic 2D TI extension.
- (C2) This class has two elements of the form

$$(16) \quad P_h(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{a,b \oplus s}, P_v(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{a \oplus 1, b \oplus s}$$

$$(17) \quad P_+(a, b) = P_-(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{a \oplus 1, b},$$

with $s = 0, 1$.

The two elements are generated by tiling the whole plane with one of the 2×2 cycles

$$(18) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$$

and then applying a symmetrization.

³Consider the random variables $a_{0,0}, a_{1,0}, a_{0,-1}$ and imagine that there exists a probability distribution P for the three of them, with P_h, P_v, P_+ as marginals. Then $P_h(a_{0,0} = 0, a_{1,0} = 1) = P_v(a_{0,0} = 0, a_{0,-1} = 1) = 1/2$, $P_h(a_{0,0} = 0, a_{1,0} = 0) = P_v(a_{0,0} = 0, a_{0,-1} = 0) = 0$ implies that $P_+(a_{1,0} = 1, a_{0,-1} = 1) \geq P(a_{0,0} = 0, a_{1,0} = 1, a_{0,-1} = 1) = \frac{1}{2}$.

(C3) This class contains just one element, namely:

$$(19) \quad P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a \oplus 1, b}$$

$$(20) \quad P_+(a, b) = P_-(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a, b}.$$

It is generated by the 2×2 cycle

$$(21) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

(C4) The class has two elements of the form

$$(22) \quad P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = P_+(a, b) = P_-(a, b) = \frac{1}{4}(\delta_{a,s} + \delta_{b,s}),$$

with $s = 0, 1$. They are respectively generated by the 2×2 cycles:

$$(23) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

(C5) This class has four elements, generated via applying reflections to the generator:

$$(24) \quad P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = P_+(a, b) = \frac{1}{3} \delta_{a \cdot b, 0},$$

$$(25) \quad P_-(a, b) = \frac{2}{3} \delta_{a,0} \delta_{b,0} + \frac{1}{3} \delta_{a,1} \delta_{b,1}.$$

The latter, in turn, can be generated by the 3×3 pattern

$$(26) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

(C6) This class has two elements, generated either by applying the identity or a $\pi/2$ rotation over the generator:

$$(27) \quad P_h(a, b) = P_v(a, b) = \frac{1}{4},$$

$$(28) \quad P_+(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a \oplus b, 1}, P_-(a, b) = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{a \oplus b, 0}.$$

It can be verified that the generator in turn can be built from the 4×4 pattern:

$$(29) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Since all these points are TI marginals, any convex combination of them will also be a TI marginal. It follows that, for $d = 2$, the first level of the hierarchy 5 already characterizes all nearest and next-to-nearest neighbor TI marginals. An immediate consequence of this fact is the solvability of the **ENERGY** problem for these scenarios. Since any TI marginal is a convex combination of the above 13 points, one just needs to evaluate the energy of each of them and take the smallest result. It is worth noting that for each extreme point, given a partially filled pattern, there is only one way to complete it to the whole pattern. This property seems to be unique to 2-valued local variables, because for 3-valued local variables which we discuss below, this property is lost.

However, the first relaxation of our method is not enough to characterize the 2×2 TI marginals. Take the distribution given by

$$(30) \quad P_{2 \times 2} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = P_{2 \times 2} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = P_{2 \times 2} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = P_{2 \times 2} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{4}.$$

This distribution verifies the consistency conditions $P_{2 \times 1} = P_{2 \times 1 + (0,1)}$, $P_{1 \times 2} = P_{1 \times 2 + (1,0)}$. However, it does not admit a TI extension. Indeed, let $P_{3 \times 3}$ be a distribution with any 2×2 marginal equal to the distribution above. Since $P_{2 \times 2} \left(\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array} \right) \neq 0$, it follows that there exists a configuration of the variables $a_{3 \times 3}$ of the form:

$$(31) \quad a_{3 \times 3} = \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & ? \\ \hline 0 & 0 & ? \\ \hline ? & ? & ? \\ \hline \end{array},$$

with $P_{3 \times 3}(a_{3 \times 3}) \neq 0$. Each 2×2 block in $a_{3 \times 3}$ must be one of the blocks in eq. (30). This forces some unknowns to take specific values:

$$(32) \quad \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 1 & ? \\ \hline \end{array}.$$

Now, there is no block in eq. (30) with the configuration $\begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline 1 & ? \\ \hline \end{array}$, so the distribution (30) cannot be extended to the 3×3 square.

4.3. Three-valued local variables. In the $d = 2$ case, we could characterize all the nearest- and next-to-nearest-neighbor TI marginals by going to the first level of Hierarchy in Definition 5. When $d = 3$, this level also allows us to characterize the nearest-neighbor TI marginals. To find the extreme points/facets of the polytope, we first use a linear program to maximize random objective functions under the constraints given by the first level of Definition 5. The vectors thus obtained are either vertices of the polytope or lie on the facets. Then, by computing the dual description of this set of vectors, we obtain a set of linear inequalities some of which may be facets of the polytope we seek. To check whether the inequalities are indeed facets we use linear programming again but this time taking the vectors which define the inequalities (without the bounds) as objective functions. If an inequality is indeed a facet then maximizing this objective function using the same constraints as before will give us the upper bound of the inequality as the value of the objective function. If it is not a facet then this maximization will violate the upper bound of the inequality, at which time we can add the vector achieving this maximization to the list of potential vertices. By iterating this violation/addition procedure until no inequality can be violated when performing the second maximization, we obtain all the facets of the polytope, from which the extreme points can also be computed. In the $d = 3$ case, the polytope is defined by 98 extreme points, which fall into 12 equivalence classes after taking local permutations of outcomes and reflections into account. A representative from each class is given below, together with the corresponding distributions for $P_h(a, b)$ and $P_v(a, b)$, written as a vector whose i th coordinate in base 3 gives the values of a, b : $P_{h,v}(a, b) \equiv (0_a 0_b, 0_a 1_b, 0_a 2_b, \dots, 2_a 2_b)$. It can be readily checked that the plane can be tiled by repeating the rectangle from each class, and the probability that each horizontal (vertical) pair of numbers occurs matches P_h (P_v).

$$(C1) \quad P_h = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1), \quad P_v = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$$

$$(C2) \quad P_h = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0), P_v = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0)$$

1	2
2	1

$$(C3) \quad P_h = (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0), P_v = (0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$$

1	2
---	---

$$(C4) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}), P_v = (0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6})$$

0	2
2	2
1	1

$$(C5) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0), P_v = (0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$$

0	2
2	1
1	1

$$(C6) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{6}), P_v = (0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3})$$

2	1	0	2	2	1
2	2	1	2	1	0

$$(C7) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0), P_v = (0, \frac{1}{6}, 0, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3})$$

1	2	1
0	2	1

$$(C8) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0), P_v = (0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4})$$

0	2
2	1
2	0
1	2

$$(C9) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, 0), P_v = (0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{2})$$

0	2
1	2

$$(C10) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}, 0, 0, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{8}), P_v = (0, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{8}, 0, \frac{1}{4})$$

2	1	0	2
2	1	1	0

$$(C11) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0), P_v = (0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0)$$

0	2	1
2	1	0
1	0	2

$$(C12) \quad P_h = (0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0), P_v = (\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, 0, \frac{1}{3})$$

0	2	1
---	---	---

When $d = 2$, all such P_h and P_v can be characterized by simply imposing (15). But for $d = 3$, the condition $\sum_{a=0,1,2} P_h(a, x) = \sum_{a=0,1,2} P_h(x, a) = \sum_{a=0,1,2} P_v(a, x) = \sum_{a=0,1,2} P_v(x, a)$ can no longer guarantee the existence of a 2×2 mock-TI square having P_h and P_v as marginals. Take, for example, the distribution $P_h(0, 2) = P_h(1, 0) = P_h(2, 1) = P_v(0, 2) = P_v(1, 2) = P_v(2, 1) = \frac{1}{3}$. It can be easily checked that this distribution satisfies the condition above. However, one can verify numerically that it does not pass the first test of the hierarchy.

5. The exact marginal problem in 2D: no-go theorems. In view of the results of the previous section, one would imagine that the exact resolution of the marginal problem for scenarios with high local dimension d is merely a matter of computational power. Note that all the marginal sets characterized so far satisfy the following properties:

1. They allow us to solve **ENERGY** exactly.
2. When we represent them in probability space, they happen to be convex polytopes, i.e., sets determined by a finite number of linear inequalities. More broadly, they are *semi-algebraic sets*. A subset S of $\mathbb{R}^s$ is a *basic closed semi-algebraic set* if there exist a finite number of polynomials $\{F_i\}_{i=1}^u$ on $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^s$ and the slack vector $\bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t$ such that

$$(33) \quad \bar{x} \in S \text{ iff } \exists \bar{y} \in \mathbb{R}^t, s.t. \\ F_i(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, u.$$

All subsets of $\mathbb{R}^t$ which one can characterize via linear programming [NT93] or the more general tool of semidefinite programming [VB96] fall

within this category. A semi-algebraic set would be the union of a finite number of basic closed semi-algebraic sets. However, it is easy to show that any closed convex semi-algebraic set is also basic, so in the following we will use both terms interchangeably.

Extrapolating, one would expect that the marginal sets of 2D TI distributions with arbitrary d should retain at least one of the above features.

In the following pages we show that this is not the case even when we aim at solving the simplest non-trivial marginal problem: the characterization of (P_h, P_v) .

5.1. Undecidability of *ENERGY*. In this section we will prove the following result:

THEOREM 1. *There exists no algorithm to solve **ENERGY** (F_h, F_v) for arbitrary local dimension d and $F_h, F_v : \{0, \dots, d-1\}^2 \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$.*

The proof of this theorem, as well as the proofs of the remaining no-go results, will rely heavily on certain mathematical results on tilings of the plane, so let us first introduce a basic vocabulary.

Let A be a finite alphabet. Any function $f : \mathbb{Z}^2 \rightarrow A$ defines a *tiling of the plane* with the set of tiles A . Any pair of subsets $\mathcal{T} = (\mathcal{T}_h, \mathcal{T}_v)$ of $A \times A$ defines a *tiling rule*. We say that a tiling f respects the tiling rule $\mathcal{T}$ if, for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$(34) \quad (f(x, y), f(x+1, y)) \in \mathcal{T}_h, (f(x, y), f(x, y+1)) \in \mathcal{T}_v.$$

If the rule $\mathcal{T}$ is implicitly known, we call f a valid tiling.

Certain rules $\mathcal{T}$ do not admit any valid tiling, e.g.: take $A = \{0, 1\}$ and $\mathcal{T}_h = \{(0, 1)\}$, $\mathcal{T}_v = A \times A$. The problem of deciding if a given rule $\mathcal{T}$ admits a valid tiling was first raised by Wang [Wan61], and proven undecidable by Berger [Ber66].

We will prove that **ENERGY** (F_h, F_v) is undecidable by reducing it to the general tiling problem. Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a tiling rule, and define the input of **ENERGY** as

$$(35) \quad \begin{aligned} F_h(a, b) &= 1, \text{ if } (a, b) \in \mathcal{T}_h, \\ &0, \text{ otherwise,} \\ F_v(a, b) &= 1, \text{ if } (a, b) \in \mathcal{T}_v, \\ &0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

We claim that **ENERGY** $(F_h, F_v) = 2$ iff $\mathcal{T}$ admits a valid tiling. Consequently, the **ENERGY** problem is undecidable.

First, suppose that $\mathcal{T}$ admits a valid tiling. We choose an $n \times n$ square of this tiling, and apply symmetrization to obtain the marginals $P_h^{(n)}, P_v^{(n)}$ of a 2D TI distribution. Those will satisfy $F_h(P_h^{(n)}) + F_v(P_v^{(n)}) = 2 - O(1/n)$. That way, we obtain a sequence of TI distributions $(P_h^{(n)}, P_v^{(n)})_n$ whose energy per site tends to 2. The closure of the set of TI marginals implies that there exists a TI marginal (P_h^*, P_v^*) with $F_h(P_h^*) + F_v(P_v^*) = 2$. Since $F_h(P), F_v(P) \leq 1$ for any distribution, this implies that $\text{ENERGY}(F_h, F_v) = 2$.

Conversely, suppose that $\text{ENERGY}(F_h, F_v) = 2$. Then, there exists a 2D TI distribution P saturating the bound. Given $P_{|n \times n|}$, take any configuration $a_{|n \times n|}$ such that $P_{|n \times n|} \neq 0$. Obviously, $a_{|n \times n|}$ is a valid tiling for $|n \times n|$. Now, consider $P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}$ and take any configuration $a_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}$ such that $a_{|n \times n|}$ is an inner square of $a_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}$ and $P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}(a_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}) \neq 0$. That such a configuration must exist follows from the fact that $\sum_{a'} P_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}(a_{|n \times n|}, a') = P_{|n \times n|}(a_{|n \times n|}) \neq 0$, where a' denotes the local variables of the inner border of the $|(n+1) \times (n+1)|$ square. Iterating, we obtain a sequence $(a_{|n \times n|})_n$ of valid tiles for ever-growing squares with the particularity that, for all n , $a_{|n \times n|}$ is contained in $a_{|(n+1) \times (n+1)|}$. The desired tiling of the plane is hence given by the function f assigning to each point (x, y) , with $|x| < n, |y| < n$ the corresponding tile in $a_{|n \times n|}$.

5.2. *The set of TI nearest-neighbors marginals is not a semi-algebraic set.* In this section we will prove that, if the local dimension d exceeds a given threshold, the set of TI nearest-neighbor marginals is no longer a real polytope (in fact, some pieces of its boundary are smoothly curved). Furthermore, it is not a semi-algebraic set, and so it cannot be characterized even via semidefinite programming [VB96].


The idea of the proof is as follows: given a finite alphabet A , we will define a tiling rule $\mathcal{T}$, and we will consider the set $\mathcal{P}$ of all TI marginals $(P_h(a, b), P_v(a, b))$, with $a, b \in A$ such that

$$(36) \quad \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_h} P_h(a, b) = \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_v} P_v(a, b) = 1.$$

That is, we will consider the intersection between the set of TI marginals and two planes defined by integer coefficients. If the set of all TI marginals (P_h, P_v) were semi-algebraic or a polytope, then so would $\mathcal{P}$.

We will then define two linear 1-site parameters

$$(37) \quad \omega \equiv \sum_{a \in A} \hat{\omega}(a) P_{(0,0)}(a), \eta \equiv \sum_{a \in A} \hat{\eta}(a) P_{(0,0)}(a),$$

FIG 3. *The Kari prototile.*

and characterize the set S of feasible values (ω, λ) in $\mathcal{P}$. We will find that the boundary of S contains both flat and a smoothly curved pieces, so we will conclude that the set of nearest-neighbor TI marginals with variables of dimension $d = |A|$ does not form a polytope. Similarly, by proving that S is not a basic closed semi-algebraic set, we will demonstrate that neither is the set of TI marginals.

The proof relies heavily on the connection between aperiodic tilings and immortal points of dynamical systems first pointed out in [Kar96] and later extended in [Kar08]. Before giving our implementation which proves the statement above we will briefly review the general construction given in [Kar96, Kar08].

Let M be a 2×2 matrix with rational coefficients; and c , a rational vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $R \equiv \cup_{i=1}^u R^i$ denote the union of the (different) unit squares in $\mathbb{R}^2$ given by $R^i = [n^i, n^i + 1] \times [m^i, m^i + 1]$. The tiles used in this construction are all derived from the prototile given in Fig. 3. A tile has four sides, called t, b, l, r (top, bottom, left and right), each of which can be viewed as a vector in $\mathbb{R}^2$, and a label $i \in \{1, \dots, u\}$ (the region), with $t \in R^i$. In addition, each tile must satisfy the relations $b \in R$ and $f(t) + l = b + r$, where $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the affine function $f(\bar{z}) \equiv M\bar{z} + c$.

Given any set A of such tiles (finite or infinite), the tiling rules we will consider are:

1. To the right of any tile with region i and right vector r , there can only be a tile with region i and left vector r .
2. To the bottom of any tile with bottom vector b , there can only be a tile with top vector b .

The first rule can be seen to imply that, for any valid row of tiles $k = 1, \dots, m$ of the form (i, t_k, b_k, l_k, r_k) ,

$$(38) \quad f(\langle t \rangle) + \frac{l_1}{m} = \langle b \rangle + \frac{r_m}{m},$$

where $\langle t \rangle, \langle b \rangle$, are, respectively, the arithmetic means of the top and bottom vectors of the n tiles. Note that, by convexity, $\langle t \rangle \in R^i$, $\langle b \rangle \in \text{conv}(R)$.




FIG 4. *Law of averages for a segment of Kari tiles. For large m , $f(\langle t \rangle) \approx \langle b \rangle$.*

Let A be such that the set L of possible left vectors l , viewed as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^2$, is bounded and equal to the set of feasible right vectors. It follows that, for n sufficiently large, we can view each valid row as a vector $\bar{z} \in R^i$ undergoing the transformation $\bar{z} \rightarrow M\bar{z} + c$. Moreover, by the second tiling rule, given the validly tiled rectangle $m \times n$, with $m \gg n \gg 1$, the sequence of top vector averages on each row can be modeled by a vector $\bar{z} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ describing an orbit $(f^j(\bar{z}_0) + O(\frac{n}{m})) : j = -n, \dots, n$ that does not escape from region R . Moreover, the region of the row marks which square $R^1, \dots, R^u$ contains $\bar{z}$ at each time. In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 0$, the orbit of the vector $\bar{z}$ is infinite and yet it does not leave R ever. $\bar{z}$ is then called an *immortal point* of the dynamical system given by M, c and R .

The breakthrough in [Kar96, Kar08] was to realize that, conversely, there always exists a *finite* alphabet A such that any immortal point of the system (M, c, R) can be represented with a valid tiling of A .

Let $\bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, and, for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, define $A_k(\bar{v}) \equiv \lfloor k\bar{v} \rfloor$, where the floor is taken for each coordinate of $\bar{v}$. Now, denote $B_k(\bar{v}) \equiv A_k(\bar{v}) - A_{k-1}(\bar{v})$. Call U^j the set of all corners of square R^j . Then it can be shown that, if $\bar{v} \in R^j$, then $B_k(\bar{v}) \in U^j$. Similarly, $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N B_{k+h}(\bar{v}) = \bar{v} \pm O(1/N)$.

Now, suppose that, for any $i = 1, \dots, u$, and any immortal point $\bar{v} \in R^i$, A contains the set A' of all tiles $T_k(\bar{v})$ with region i and top, bottom, left and right vectors of the form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 t_k(\bar{v}) &= B_k(\bar{v}), \\
 b_k(\bar{v}) &= B_k(f(\bar{v})), \\
 l_k(\bar{v}) &= f(A_{k-1}(\bar{v})) - A_{k-1}(f(\bar{v})) + (k-1)c, \\
 r_k(\bar{v}) &= f(A_k(\bar{v})) - A_k(f(\bar{v})) + kc,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{39}$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. It can be verified that these tiles satisfy the conditions $f(t) + l = b + r$, $t \in U^i$, $b \in \cup_{j=1}^u U^j$.

Since $r_k(\bar{v}) = l_{k+1}(\bar{v})$, the tiles $T_k(\bar{v}), T_{k+1}(\bar{v}), T_{k+2}(\bar{v}), \dots, T_{k+m}(\bar{v})$, form a valid row for any m . We can extend this row below by placing another row of the form $T_k(f(\bar{v})), T_{k+1}(f(\bar{v})), T_{k+2}(f(\bar{v})), \dots$, with $M\bar{v} \in R^{i'}$. Iterating, we can tile the whole plane in this fashion, provided that $\bar{v}$ is an immortal point. From the properties of $B_k(\bar{v})$, the averages of the inputs of each row j tend to $f^j(\bar{v})$ in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 0$.

It rests to see that A' is a finite set. The top and bottom vectors of each tile belong to $\cup_{i=1}^u U^i$, and so they can only take finitely many values. As for the left or right vectors of the tile, they must belong to the set $L' = \{r_k(\bar{v}) : \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}^2, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $l \in L'$ and denote by l_s its s^{th} component. Using the relations $x - 1 \leq \lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$ repeatedly, we have that

$$(40) \quad \mu_s^- := - \sum_{j^+} M_{s,j} + c_s \leq l_s \leq 1 - \sum_{j^-} M_{s,j} + c_s =: \mu_s^+$$

where j^+ (j^-) ranges over all those j such that $M_{s,j} > 0$ ($M_{s,j} < 0$).

Call m_s the common denominator m_s of the rational numbers $\{M_{s,j}\}_j, c_s$ (remember that M, c are assumed to be rational). From the definition of L' , it follows that $l \in L'$ must satisfy $\begin{pmatrix} m_1 & 0 \\ 0 & m_2 \end{pmatrix} l \in \mathbb{Z}^2$. Define then the set $L = \{l : m_s l_s \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu_s^- \leq l_s \leq \mu_s^+, s = 1, 2\}$. Clearly L is bounded and contains L' .

In order to generate A , we go through all regions $i, j = 1, \dots, u$ and all combinations of top and bottom vectors $t \in U^i, b \in U^j$, and any left vector $l \in L$ and verify that the right vector $r = f(t) + l - b$ also belongs to L . If it does, then we add the tile (i, t, l, r) to the definition of A . That way, we end up with a finite alphabet that, via the above tiling rules, can describe all immortal orbits of the dynamical system (M, c, R) . This is a simplification of the construction proposed in [Kar08] to simulate a dynamical system where the transformation f may depend on the region i , i.e., $z \rightarrow M^i z + c^i$.

To prove our result, we take M, c to be

$$(41) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4}{5} & -\frac{3}{5} \\ \frac{3}{5} & \frac{4}{5} \end{pmatrix}, c = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{5} \end{pmatrix},$$

and consider the regions R^1, R^2 corresponding to the unit squares $[0, -1] \times [0, 1]$, $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, respectively. Using the above construction, we find that we can simulate the dynamical system defined by (M, c, R) via an alphabet of 2947 tiles.




FIG 5. **The action of f .** The effect of the affine transformation f over an arbitrary vector z is to rotate it by an angle ϕ_0 with respect to the point $\hat{c} = (-1/5, 2/5)$.

The action of f over a vector $\bar{z}$ can be rewritten $f(z) = M(z - \hat{c}) + \hat{c}$, with $\hat{c} = (-1/5, 2/5)$, where M implements a counter-clockwise rotation by an angle $\phi_0 = \arccos(\frac{4}{5})$. Sequential applications of f over an initial vector z_0 have thus the effect of rotating the vector an angle ϕ_0 with respect to the point $\hat{c}$, see Figure 5.

As we will see later, ϕ_0 is an irrational multiple of 2π . Hence, by applying M sequentially, we can induce a rotation arbitrarily close to any angle θ . It follows that a point $\bar{z}$ is immortal in the dynamical system given by (M, c, R) iff $\|\bar{z} - \hat{c}\|_2 \leq \frac{2}{5}$.

We are interested in the following extensive quantities:

$$(42) \quad \Omega \equiv \frac{1}{(2m+1)(2n+1)} \sum_{x=-m}^m \sum_{y=-n}^n \left(t_1(x, y) + \frac{1}{5} \right) \delta_{i(x, y), 2},$$

$$(43) \quad H \equiv \frac{1}{(2m+1)(2n+1)} \sum_{x=-m}^m \sum_{y=-n}^n \delta_{i(x, y), 2},$$

where $T(x, y) = (i(x, y), t(x, y), b(x, y), l(x, y), r(x, y))$ denotes the tile on position (x, y) ; t_1 is the first coordinate of the top vector t ; and δ , the Kronecker delta. We will show that, if $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 0$, the set of feasible (Ω, H) is parametrized by the curve

$$(44) \quad \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{5\mu} \right)^2}, \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \left(\frac{1}{5\mu} \right) \right) : \frac{1}{5} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{5} \right\}.$$

Intuitively, the vector z defined at the top side of each row of the tiling is turning by an amount ϕ_0 from row to row. The witness (42) corresponds to the average of $z - \hat{c}$'s first coordinate in the region R^2 . That is,

$$(45) \quad W = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2N+1} \sum_{k=-N}^N \mu \cos(\varphi + k\phi_0) \chi_{[-\arccos(\frac{1}{5\mu}), \arccos(\frac{1}{5\mu})]}(\varphi + k\phi_0),$$

where φ is the angle of z at row 0; $\mu = \|z - \hat{c}\|_2$ and $\chi_O(p)$ is the characteristic function that equals 1 when $p \in O$ and 0 otherwise. Since ϕ_0 is not congruent, we expect the above expression to converge to

$$(46) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\arccos(\frac{1}{5\mu})}^{\arccos(\frac{1}{5\mu})} d\theta \mu \cos(\theta) = \frac{\mu}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{1}{5\mu} \right)^2},$$

for $\frac{1}{5} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{5}$ and 0 otherwise. Analogously, the second witness (43) measures the presence of vector z in R^2 , and so it should converge to

$$(47) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\arccos(\frac{1}{5\mu})}^{\arccos(\frac{1}{5\mu})} d\theta = \frac{1}{\pi} \arccos \left(\frac{1}{5\mu} \right),$$

for $\frac{1}{5} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{5}$ and 0 otherwise. The coordinates of an arbitrary feasible point (Ω, H) will thus belong to the trajectory (44).

To justify eqs. (46), (47), though, we need to prove a result that relates the integration of a function with the sampling over $(\varphi + k\phi_0)_k$.

LEMMA 1. *Let ϕ_0 be such that $m\phi_0 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ for all m , and let $g(\phi)$ be any piecewise continuous bounded function in $\phi \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Then, for any $\varphi \in [-\pi, \pi]$,*

$$(48) \quad g^* := \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N g(\varphi + k\phi_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta g(\theta).$$

PROOF. Note that, for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$(49) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N e^{m(\varphi + k\phi_0)} = 0, \text{ for } m \neq 0, \\ 1 \text{ for } m = 0.$$

Let $g(\theta)$ be a periodic, piecewise differentiable bounded function. Then it converges uniformly under a Fourier expansion, i.e., for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists R such that $|g(\theta) - g_R(\theta)| < \epsilon$ for all $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, where $g_R(\theta) = \sum_{m=-R}^R c_m e^{m\theta}$ and $\{c_m\}_m$ are the Fourier coefficients of $g(\theta)$.

Now, from eq. (49), we have that

$$(50) \quad g_R^* = c_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta g(\theta), \forall R.$$

Since the $\star$ process is, in fact, an average, $|g_R^* - g^*| < \epsilon$, and we conclude that eq. (48) holds for all piece-wise derivable bounded functions. The general result can be obtained by noticing that, for any piece-wise continuous function g , there exist two sequences of piece-wise derivable functions $(g_n^u)_n, (g_n^d)_n$ such that $g_n^d(\theta) \leq g(\theta) \leq g_n^u(\theta)$ for all n, θ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta g_n^d(\theta) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta g_n^u(\theta)$. $\square$

To apply the above lemma, we still need to show that ϕ_0 is congruent. This follows from $\sin(\phi_0) = \frac{3}{5}$ and Niven's theorem [Niv56, pp.41], that states that the only angles $0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/2$ with $\phi = \frac{m}{n}\pi$ and rational sine are $0, \frac{\pi}{6}$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

We have just shown that, in the limits $n \rightarrow \infty, \frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 0$, the vector of feasible values (Ω, H) in an $n \times m$ valid tiling is parametrized by eq. (44). What does this have to do with TI marginals? Consider a random variable taking values in the tile set A , and a TI marginal (P_h, P_v) satisfying the constraint:

$$(51) \quad \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_h} P_h(a, b) = \sum_{(a,b) \in \mathcal{T}_v} P_v(a, b) = 1.$$

Consider also the linear functionals given by

$$(52) \quad \omega(P_h, P_v) \equiv \sum_{a \in A} P(a) \left(t_1(a) + \frac{1}{5} \right) \delta_{i(a), 2}, \\ \eta(P_h, P_v) \equiv \sum_{a \in A} P(a) \delta_{i(a), 2},$$




FIG 6. Accessible values for the parameters ω and η are given by the shaded region.

where $t_1(a) \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ ($i(a) \in \{1, 2\}$) denotes the 2nd coordinate of the top side (the region) of tile a .

By definition, for any n, m there exists a mock-TI distribution $P_{n \times m}$ with nearest-neighbor marginals (P_h, P_v) . $P_{n \times m}$ is a convex combination of tilings $a_{n \times m}^k$ with weight p_k of such a rectangle. Moreover, due to condition (51), all of them must be valid tilings. Hence,

$$(53) \quad \omega(P_h, P_v) = \sum_k p_k \Omega(a_{n \times m}^k), \eta(P_h, P_v) = \sum_k p_k H(a_{n \times m}^k).$$

In the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\frac{n}{m} \rightarrow 0$, the point (ω, η) belongs to the convex hull S of the curve (44). It can be verified that $\frac{d^2 \eta}{d\omega^2} \leq 0$, i.e., the curve is convex. Hence the boundary of S is given by curve (44) and the segment joining its start and end points, see Figure 6.

It rests to show that any point of the shaded region in Figure 6 is achievable by a TI marginal. Since the set of TI marginals is convex, it is enough to see that one can achieve the extreme points of the set S . Let $\frac{1}{5} \leq \mu \leq \frac{2}{5}$ and take any valid tiling a_{Z^2} of the plane describing a vector $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$ with $\|z - \hat{c}\|_2 = \mu$. Further take any increasing sequence of rectangles $m^{(k)} \times n^{(k)}$, with $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n^{(k)}/m^{(k)} = 0$. Symmetrizing the deterministic distribution $a_{m^{(k)} \times n^{(k)}}$, we obtain a sequence of TI marginals $(P_h^{(k)}, P_v^{(k)})_k$ which violate (51) by an amount $O(1/n^{(k)})$. By Weierstrass' theorem, there exists a subsequence of this sequence that converges to a pair of distributions, call them (P_h, P_v) . By closure of the set of TI marginals,

(P_h, P_v) admits a 2D TI extension. Moreover, it satisfies (51) and ω, η are given by eq. (44).

As it is clear from Figure 6, the upper piece of S 's boundary is smoothly curved. In other words: S is not a polytope, and so neither is the set of nearest-neighbor TI marginals. In order to show that it is also not a semi-algebraic set, see eq. (33), we will assume that it is and prove the result by contradiction.

S is the result of intersecting the set of TI marginals with a number of planes followed by a projection on the variables ω, η : from our hypothesis, it follows that it is also a basic closed semi-algebraic set. Adding the variables ν, μ and the relations $\mu^2 - \frac{1}{25} - \pi^2 \omega^2 = 0$, $\mu \geq \frac{1}{5}$, $\nu \geq 0$, $\mu \nu - \frac{1}{5} = 0$, we have a new closed semi-algebraic set for the variables (ω, η, μ, ν) . Its projection onto (ν, η) gives rise to a new semi-algebraic set S' , with its boundary containing the curve $\{(\nu, \frac{\arccos(\nu)}{\pi}) : \nu \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]\}$.

By the Tarski-Seidenberg projection theorem [BM88, BCR98], S' is determined by a number of polynomials $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^u$, such that

$$(54) \quad \begin{aligned} &(\nu, \eta) \in \tilde{S}' \text{ iff} \\ &G_i(\omega, \eta) \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, u. \end{aligned}$$

Now, for any point $\hat{s}$ on the boundary of $\tilde{S}'$ at least one of these polynomials must be null; otherwise, we could perturb $\hat{s}$ in any direction and the polynomial inequalities (33) would still hold. It follows that any point $\hat{s}$ on the boundary of $\tilde{S}'$ satisfies $g(\hat{s}) = 0$, where $g(\hat{s}) \equiv \prod_{i=1}^u G_i(\hat{s})$. In particular, we have that

$$(55) \quad g\left(\nu, \frac{\arccos(\nu)}{\pi}\right) = 0,$$

for $\nu \in [1/2, 1]$. This contradicts the fact that the inverse of cosine is a transcendental function.

6. Conclusion. In this work, we have studied the problem of deciding whether a number of distributions correspond to the marginals of a 2D TI system, what we called the **MARGINAL** problem. We found that this it is exactly solvable in scenarios where the cardinality of the random variables is low and only nearest or next-to-nearest neighbor distributions are involved. We also showed that a natural variant of the problem, where we also demand symmetry under reflection, is solvable for all local dimensions. For other scenarios, we proposed a general algorithm to approximately solve the **MARGINAL** problem, as well as its dual, the **ENERGY** problem, where the goal is to minimize a linear functional of TI marginals.

We also proved several no-go theorems concerning these two problems. We showed that the **ENERGY** problem is undecidable in general, so we cannot expect to identify the sets of TI marginals exactly for arbitrary d . We find that for d high enough, those sets are neither real polytopes nor semi-algebraic sets. Our techniques to prove negative results relied on a correspondence, proposed by Kari [Kar96, Kar08], between aperiodic tilings and immortal points of dynamical systems. Perhaps because of this, our upper bounds on the minimal dimension over which the set of TI marginals ceases to admit a simple description seem very poor. It is an open question how to lower those bounds. Could it be that, already for dimensions of order 10, we can experience the transition from a rational polytope to a convex object where parts of the boundary are smoothly curved? And, could it be that, for dimensions small enough, the description of the sets ceases to be a polytope but nonetheless admits a practical description via semidefinite programming?

Finally, an interesting follow-up on our work would be to relate TI marginals to the maximum entropy per site of the whole lattice configuration from which they originate. That way, we would be able to compute interesting thermodynamical quantities of TI systems at non-zero temperature. In this regard, our results on the uniqueness of the extendibility of the extreme points of $d = 2$ TI marginals suggest that a full characterization of the set of achievable TI marginals plus maximum entropy in that scenario is on the horizon.

Acknowledgements. M.N. acknowledges interesting and useful discussions with David Pérez-García. Z. W. acknowledges the FQXi grant “The physics of events”. The authors would like to thank Aernout van Enter for pointing out several related works. This work was not funded by the European Research Council.

REFERENCES

- [Bax07] Rodney James Baxter, *Exactly Solved Models in Statistical Mechanics*, Dover Publications, 2007.
- [BCR98] Jacek Bochnak, Michel Coste, and Marie-Françoise Roy, *Real Algebraic Geometry*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Vol. 36, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1998.
- [Ber66] Robert Berger, *The Undecidability of the Domino Problem*, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, no. 66, American Mathematical Society, 1966.
- [BM88] Edward Bierstone and Pierre D. Milman, *Semianalytic and subanalytic sets*, Publications Mathématiques de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques **67** (1988), no. 1, 5–42.
- [GKLS17] Sheldon Goldstein, Tobias Kuna, Joel Louis Lebowitz, and Eugene Richard Speer, *Translation Invariant Extensions of Finite Volume Measures*, Journal of Statistical Physics **166** (2017), no. 3, 765–782.

- [GLS88] Martin Grötschel, László Lovász, and Alexander Schrijver, *Geometric Algorithms and Combinatorial Optimization*, Algorithms and Combinatorics, Vol. 2, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1988.
- [Grü03] Branko Grünbaum, *Convex Polytopes*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 221, Springer, New York, 2003.
- [Hua09] Kerson Huang, *Introduction to Statistical Physics*, 2nd ed., Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2009.
- [Kar96] Jarkko Kari, *A small aperiodic set of Wang tiles*, Discrete Mathematics **160** (1996), no. 1, 259 – 264.
- [Kar08] Jarkko Kari, *On the Undecidability of the Tiling Problem*, pp. 74–82, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [KLS11] Tobias Kuna, Joel Louis Lebowitz, and Eugene Richard Speer, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for realizability of point processes*, The Annals of Applied Probability **21** (2011), no. 4, 1253–1281.
- [LR15] Stefan Lörwald and Gerhard Reinelt, *PANDA: a software for polyhedral transformations*, EURO Journal on Computational Optimization (2015), 1–12 (English).
- [MG07] Jiří Matoušek and Bernd Gärtner, *Understanding and Using Linear Programming*, Universitext, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2007.
- [MW14] Barry M McCoy and Tai Tsun Wu, *The Two-Dimensional Ising Model*, 2nd ed., Dover Publications, 2014.
- [Niv56] Ivan Niven, *Irrational Numbers*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, no. 11, Mathematical Association of America, 1956.
- [NT93] Evan D. Nering and Albert W. Tucker, *Linear Programs and Related Problems*, Academic Press, 1993.
- [Piv01] Marcus Pivato, *Building a stationary stochastic process from a finite-dimensional marginal*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics **53** (2001), no. 2, 382–413.
- [Sch85] Antoine Gerard Schlijper, *On some variational approximations in two-dimensional classical lattice systems*, Journal of Statistical Physics **40** (1985), no. 1, 1–27.
- [Sch88] ———, *Tiling problems and undecidability in the cluster variation method*, Journal of Statistical Physics **50** (1988), no. 3, 689–714.
- [VB96] Lieven Vandenberghe and Stephen Boyd, *Semidefinite programming*, SIAM Review **38** (1996), no. 1, 49–95.
- [Wan61] Hao Wang, *Proving Theorems by Pattern Recognition — II*, Bell System Technical Journal **40** (1961), no. 1, 1–41.
- [Wil86] H. Paul Williams, *Fourier’s Method of Linear Programming and Its Dual*, The American Mathematical Monthly **93** (1986), no. 9, 681–695.
- [WSN16] Zizhu Wang, Sukhwinder Singh, and Miguel Navascués, *Entanglement and Nonlocality in Infinite 1D Systems*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1608.03485 (2016).
- [Wu82] Fa-Yueh Wu, *The Potts model*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54** (1982), 235–268.
- [Zie95] Günter Matthias Ziegler, *Lectures on Polytopes*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Vol. 152, Springer, New York, 1995.

INSTITUTE FOR QUANTUM OPTICS AND QUANTUM INFORMATION (IQOQI) VIENNA
 AUSTRIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
 BOLTZMANNGASSE 3, 1090 VIENNA
 AUSTRIA
 E-MAIL: zizhu.wang@oeaw.ac.at
miguel.navascues@oeaw.ac.at